

### REMARKS

In the present Application, originally-submitted Claims 1-22 remain pending. Claims 23-36 have been withdrawn by a previous Response. In this Response, Claims 1-5 and 18-22 have been cancelled, Claims 6-10, 13 and 17 have been amended, and Claim 37 is newly-submitted.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR §§ 1.83-1.84. In response, Applicant hereby submits proposed corrected drawings. Removal of the drawing objections are respectfully requested.

Also in the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to Independent Claim 1 due to a typographical error. As mentioned above, Claim 1 has been cancelled. Thus, Applicant asserts that this objection is moot, and respectfully requests the objection be removed.

Further, in the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected Claims 17-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Applicants submits that the amendments to Claim 17 alleviates the rejection, and respectfully requests this rejection be removed. As Claims 18-22 have been cancelled, Applicant asserts that this objection is moot, and respectfully requests the rejection be removed.

Finally in the Office Action, Claims 1-3 and 6-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Benkoe*, U.S. Patent No. 3,022,779; and Claims 1-4, 6 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by the *Sheridan XTS Owner's Manual*. Again, Claims 1-4 have been cancelled. Thus, Applicant asserts that this rejection is moot, and respectfully requests the rejection be removed.

Concerning the allowable subject matter, Applicant has rewritten Claim 5 (into New Claim 37) to include all of the limitations of the base Claim and any intervening Claims. Moreover, Applicant has amended the dependency of Claims 10-16, making them dependent upon New Claim 37. Further, Claims 6-9, which were rejected under *Benkoe* and *Sheridan*, have also been amended to be dependent upon New Claim 37.

Based on the foregoing Amendments and Remarks, the present Application is considered to be in condition for allowance. Such action on the part of the Examiner is respectfully requested. If the Examiner feels a telephonic conference would expedite the allowance of the present Application, it is suggested the Examiner contact the undersigned Attorney.

It is further believed that a three-month extension of time fee is due for the filing of this Response. This fee may be charged to our firm's Deposit Account No. 502261.


Respectfully submitted,

Date: 28 July 2003



Timothy M. Morella  
Reg. No. 45,277  
NEAL, GERBER & EISENBERG LLP  
2 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60602.3801  
312 269 8000


**Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR § 1.8:** The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents via first class mail this 28<sup>th</sup> Day of July, 2003.

By:   
Lisa Lyle




approved  
gas  
10/30/03

FIG. 1



approved  
JWS  
10/30/03


FIG. 2





approved  
JWZ  
10/30/03


FIG. 3




O I P E J C 4 1  
JUL 31 2003  
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE


approved  
JW3  
10/30/03

FIG. 4






ଫା  
ଗ




approved  
fawz  
10/30/03

FIG. 6







*approved  
gasz  
10/30/03*




FIG. 7




FIG. 7A



Approved  
fw3  
10/30/03




FIG. 8




FIG. 9



approved  
fuz  
10/30/03






FIG. 10



approved  
10/30/03

FIG. 11





*approved  
faj3  
10/30/03*




FIG. 12

OIP, E JCA1 E  
JUL 31 2003  
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

approved  
JWZ  
10/30/03




FIG. 13

- PRIOR ART -